

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/963,861	09/26/2001	Michael Frank	ATI.0100580	1604
34456	7590 10/25/2006		EXAM	INER
	IEWMAN ABEL POLA COURTYARD DRIVE	DINH, NGOC V		
SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, T	X 78730		2189	

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/963,861	FRANK ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
NGOC V. DINH	2189

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED <u>06 October 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Nothis application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendar places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The time periods:	nent, affidavit, or other evidence, which fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the content of the mailing date of the	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WITWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee eply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
<u> </u>	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 r filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3 a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set for a set of the set of th	7(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mate appeal; and/or	erially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims
· · — · · ·	rially rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of	Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a se non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	eparate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7.  ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	o) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>19-25 and 27</u> .	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 4-12, 15-18, 28</u> .	
Claim(s) rejected. <u>1, 4-12, 15-16, 26.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
	Para - Nation of Association at the content of
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of fil because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but price entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections undeshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier prese	er appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the appli	ication in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	
13. Other:	Regueld D. Branden
	_ 0
•	REGINALD BRAGDON
	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 3. NOTE: in claim 1, lines 10-12, the newly added limitation of " and wherein a memory controller associated with the first memory device terminates the meory request in response to the termination request."